



# Analysis of Risk Measurement in Financial Companies

Andris Laube<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, University of Latvia, Latvia

Received: 21 December 2019

Accepted: 03 February 2020

Published: 01 March 2020

## Abstract

In this paper we extend the definition of risk measure from  $L^\infty$  to an arbitrary Polish space with special conditions. For this purpose we present a measure preserving transformation between two Polish spaces with special conditions.

**Keywords:** Polish Space; Risk Measure; Risk Management; Transformation

### How to cite the article:

A. Laube, Analysis of Risk Measurement in Financial Companies, J. Hum. Ins. 2020; 4(1): 027-028, DOI: 10.22034/jhi.2020.105790.

©2020 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

## 1. Introduction

Risk management is a very important concept in financial mathematics and specially in a financial market.

For managing risk in a financial market we need to compute risk measure in a financial market which in [1, 2, 4, 5] is defined on  $L^\infty$ . In this paper we extend the definition of risk measure from  $L^\infty$  to an arbitrary uncountable Polish space. For this purpose, we construct a measure preserving transformation between two Polish spaces which have special conditions.

## 2. Risk Measure

Risk measure is widely used as instrument to control risk. In fact, risk measures assign a real number to a risk in a financial market. As usual in actuarial sciences we assume that  $X$  describes a potential loss, but we allow  $X$  to assume negative values. Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a probability space and expectation of a random variable  $X$  with respect to  $P$  is denoted by  $E[X]$ .

**2.1 Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be the set of all functions  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A mapping  $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a risk measure if it has the following conditions [2, 3].

- Monotonicity: If  $X \leq Y$  then  $\rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$ ;

- Translation invariance: if  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\rho(X + m) = \rho(X) + m$ ;
- Subadditivity:  $\rho(X + Y) \leq \rho(X) + \rho(Y)$ ;
- Positive homogeneity: if  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X)$ ;
- Convexity:  $\rho(\lambda X + (1 - \lambda)Y) = \lambda \rho(X) + (1 - \lambda)\rho(Y)$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ;
- Law invariance: If  $P_X = P_Y$ , then  $\rho(X) = \rho(Y)$ .

According to Artzner et al. a functional is called a coherent risk measure, if it is monotone, translation invariant, subadditive and positively homogeneous [2]. They show that any coherent risk measure has a representation.

$$\rho(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} E_Q(X), \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is some set of probability measures. This means that  $\rho(X)$  is the worst expected loss under  $Q$ , where  $Q$  varies over some set of probability measures. Follmer and Schied [6] introduced the weaker concept of  $\rho$  being a convex risk measure if it satisfies the condition of monotonicity, translation invariance and convexity. They show that any convex risk measure is of the form

$$\rho(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} (E_Q(X) - \alpha(Q)), \tag{2}$$

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding Author Email: laube.a.1982@reddiffmail.com

Where is a penalty function, which can be chosen to be convex and lower semi-continuous with  $\alpha(Q) \geq -\rho(0)$ .

### 2.2. Definition 2

Let  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  be a probability space. Call for a partition  $P$  of  $\Omega$  consisting of elements of  $F$ ,  $\sup_{I \in P} \mu(I)$  the norm of  $P$ , w.r.t.  $\mu$  and denote it by  $|P|_\mu$ .

### 2.3. Definition 3

For a probability space  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  a sequence  $\{\Delta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of partitions of  $\Omega$  is called a system of partitions if [7]:

1. for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Delta_n$  is a countable collection of elements of  $F$ ;
2. the collection  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Delta_n$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  generates  $F$ ;
3.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Delta_n|_\mu = 0$ .

Call a system of partitions decreasing if for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Delta_{n+1}$  is a refinement of  $\Delta_n$ . Henceforth  $\Delta_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , denotes a system of partitions of  $\Omega$ .

### 2.4. Definition 4

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , let  $In(\omega)$  be the unique element of  $\Delta_n$  containing  $\omega$ . Call the sequence  $In(\omega)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , the  $\omega$ -tower in the system.

### 2.5. Remark

Euclidean spaces and more generally, locally compact second countable Hausdorff topological spaces and hence complete separable, i.e. Polish, metric spaces, with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras and diffuse probability measures, when they admit such measures, yield decreasing systems of partitions which generate the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

## 3. Main results

In this section we extend the definition 2.1. For this purpose we present some theorems.

Let  $[0,1]$  be equipped by the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  and the Lebesgue measure  $m$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a Polish space and  $\mu$  a non-atomic probability measure on  $F$ .

Consider  $\Omega$  and  $[0,1]$  equipped by the system of partition  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ , respectively.

### 3.1. Theorem 1

There is a transformation  $X_b : \Omega \rightarrow [0,1]$  which has the following properties [7]:

1.  $X_b$  yields a natural one to one correspondence between the collection of towers of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ ;
2.  $X_b$  is  $F$ - $B$  measurable and in fact  $X_b^{-1}(B) = F$ ;
3.  $X_b$  transforms the measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  to the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on  $I_0$ .

### 3.2. Theorem 2

Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be uncountable and Polish spaces. Then there is a measure preserving transformation between them.

### 3.3. Theorem 3

By above theorem, the definition of risk measure is extendable from  $L^\infty$  to an arbitrary uncountable Polish space.

## References

1. Artzner Ph., Delbaen F., Eber J. and Heath D. 1997. *Thinking coherently*, Risk 10: 68-71.
2. Artzner Ph., Delbaen F., Eber J. and Heath D. 1999. *Coherent measure of risk*, Mathematical Finance, pp. 203-228.
3. Billera L.j., Heath C.C. 1982. *Allocation of costs: A set of axioms yielding a unique procedure*, Mathematics of Operations Research 1, pp. 32-39.
4. Delbean F., Schachermayer W. 1994. A general version of the fundamental theorem of asset pricing, *Mathematical Analysis* 300: 463-520.
5. Diestel J. 1975. *Geometry of Banach spaces-selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer Verlag.
6. Follmer H., Schied A. 2002. *Convex measure of risk and trading constraint*, Finance and Stochastics, 64: 429-449.
7. Varsei A., Dastranj E. 2014. An approach to integral w.r.t. measure through random sums, *Dynamic Systems and Applications* 23: 31-38.